

**Depressive symptoms and adverse outcomes from hospitalization in older adults: Secondary outcomes of a trial of falls prevention education**

Haines, Terry P.; Williams, Cylie M.; Hill, Anne Marie; McPhail, Steven M.; Hill, D.; Brauer, Sandy G.; Hoffmann, Tammy C.; Etherton-Beer, Chris

*Published in:*  
Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics

*DOI:*  
[10.1016/j.archger.2014.09.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2014.09.009)

*Licence:*  
CC BY-NC-ND

[Link to output in Bond University research repository.](#)

*Recommended citation(APA):*  
Haines, T. P., Williams, C. M., Hill, A. M., McPhail, S. M., Hill, D., Brauer, S. G., Hoffmann, T. C., & Etherton-Beer, C. (2015). Depressive symptoms and adverse outcomes from hospitalization in older adults: Secondary outcomes of a trial of falls prevention education. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 60(1), 96-102.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2014.09.009>

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.

## Accepted Manuscript

Title: Depressive Symptoms and Adverse Outcomes from Hospitalization in Older Adults: Secondary Outcomes of a Trial of Falls Prevention Education

Author: Terry P. Haines Cylie. M. Williams Anne-Marie Hill Steven. M. McPhail D. Hill Sandy. G. Brauer Tammy. C. Hoffmann Chris Etherton-Beer



PII: S0167-4943(14)00160-5  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.archger.2014.09.009>  
Reference: AGG 3062

To appear in: *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*

Received date: 13-11-2013  
Revised date: 25-6-2014  
Accepted date: 16-9-2014

Please cite this article as: Haines, T.P., Williams, Ce.M., Hill, A.-M., McPhail, Sn.M., Hill, D., Brauer, Sy.G., Hoffmann, Ty.C., Etherton-Beer, C., Depressive Symptoms and Adverse Outcomes from Hospitalization in Older Adults: Secondary Outcomes of a Trial of Falls Prevention Education., *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics* (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2014.09.009>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Depressive Symptoms and Adverse Outcomes from Hospitalization in Older Adults:  
Secondary Outcomes of a Trial of Falls Prevention Education.

Associate Professor Terry P Haines

Monash University /Monash Health

Allied Health Research Unit, Monash Health

Kingston Hospital

Cnr Warrigal and Kingston Rds,

Cheltenham, VIC 3192, Australia

Email: [terrence.haines@monash.edu](mailto:terrence.haines@monash.edu)

Phone: +61 (0) 3 92651822

Dr Cylie. M. Williams

Allied Health Research Unit, Monash Health

Kingston Hospital

Cnr Warrigal and Kingston Rds,

Cheltenham, VIC 3192, Australia

Email: [Cylie.williams@southernhealth.org.au](mailto:Cylie.williams@southernhealth.org.au)

Phone: +61 (0) 3 92651816

Dr Anne-Marie Hill

The University of Notre Dame Australia

19 Mouat Street (PO Box 1225)

Fremantle, Western Australia

Australia 6959

Telephone +61 8 9433 0239

Facsimile: +61 8 9433 0210

Email: [anne-marie.hill@nd.edu.au](mailto:anne-marie.hill@nd.edu.au)

Dr Steven. M McPhail

Queensland University of Technology/ Queensland Health

[steven\\_mcphail@health.qld.gov.au](mailto:steven_mcphail@health.qld.gov.au)

Ph: +617 3406 2266

Professor Keith. D. Hill

Curtin University, School of Physiotherapy

Ph: +618 9266 3618

[Keith.Hill@curtin.edu.au](mailto:Keith.Hill@curtin.edu.au)

Professor Sandy. G. Brauer

University of Queensland

Head of Physiotherapy | School of Health & Rehab Sciences

The University of Queensland | Brisbane Qld 4072

Ph +61 7 3365 2317 | Fax +61 7 3365 2775

Email [s.brauer@uq.edu.au](mailto:s.brauer@uq.edu.au)

Dr Tammy. C. Hoffmann

Centre for Research in Evidence-Based Practice, Bond University

Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine

Bond University QLD 4229

+61 7 559 55522

Email: [thoffmann@bond.edu.au](mailto:thoffmann@bond.edu.au)

Professor Chris Etherton-Beer

University of Western Australia

School of Medicine and Pharmacology Royal Perth Hospital Unit

35 Stirling Highway, CRAWLEY WA 6009

Australia

Ph: 61 8 9224 2750

Email: [christopher.etherton-beer@uwa.edu.au](mailto:christopher.etherton-beer@uwa.edu.au)

Corresponding author:

Terry Haines,  
Allied Health Research Unit, Southern Health  
Kingston Hospital  
Cnr Warrigal and Kingston Rds,  
Cheltenham, VIC 3192, Australia  
Email: [terrence.haines@monash.edu](mailto:terrence.haines@monash.edu)

Phone: +61 (0) 3 92651822

Keywords: depression, elderly, hospital, falls

Key points:

1. Depressive symptoms are common amongst older hospital inpatients and

generally persist through to discharge.

2. Depressive symptoms are associated with longer length of stay and falls while in hospital
3. Depressive symptoms worsen if exposed to low intensity (video, workbook) falls prevention education material.

Word count: 3236

## **Depressive Symptoms and Adverse Outcomes from Hospitalization in Older Adults: Secondary Outcomes of a Trial of Falls Prevention Education.**

### **Abstract**

Depression is common in older people and symptoms of depression are known to substantially increase during hospitalisation. There is little known about predictors of depressive symptoms in older adults or impact of common interventions during hospitalisation. This study aimed to describe the magnitude of depressive symptoms, shift of depressive symptoms and the impact of the symptoms of depression amongst older hospital patients during hospital admission and identify whether exposure to falls prevention education affected symptoms of depression.

Participants (n=1206) were older adults admitted within two Australian hospitals, the majority of participants completed the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) short form at admission (n=1168). Participants' mean age was 74.7 ( $\pm$ SD 11) years and 47%(n=551) were male.

At admission 53% (619 out of 1168) of participants had symptoms of clinical depression and symptoms remained at the same level at discharge for 55% (543 out of 987). Those exposed to the low intensity education program had higher GDS scores at discharge than those in the control group (low intensity vs control n=652, adjusted regression coefficient (95% CI) = 0.24 (0.02, 0.45), p=0.03). The only factor other than admission level of depression that affected depressive symptoms change was if the participant was worried about falling.

Older patients frequently present with symptoms of clinical depression on admission to hospital. Future research should consider these factors, whether these are modifiable and whether treatment may influence outcomes.



## Introduction:

Depression is common amongst older people,(Bryant, Jackson, & Ames, 2009; Djernes, 2006; Solhaug, Romuld, Romild, & Stordal, 2012) and it is well understood that the risk of experiencing symptoms of depression is increased by cognitive impairment, illness and limited access to friends and family support networks.(Djernes, 2006; Sheikh & Yesavage, 1986) It is not surprising then that symptoms of depression have been shown to increase in frequency and severity during times of hospitalisation.(Givens, Jones, & Inouye, 2009) Recent research has found that over two thirds of older people undertaking inpatient rehabilitation had some form of clinically significant psychiatric comorbidity upon admission and over one third displayed significant symptoms of depression at discharge.(Gluyas, Lum, Chong, Borg, & Haines, 2011)

Clinicians currently have little guidance to identify patients at high risk of developing depressive symptoms or having worsening symptoms during their hospital admission. This is important as early identification may permit delivery of services to prevent development or worsening of depressive symptoms. Another issue of importance to clinicians is whether health interventions designed to address other geriatric issues (such as falls prevention) may have the unintended consequence of worsening depressive symptoms. Previous research has demonstrated that older adults rarely discuss falls with their health professionals (Lee, McDermott, Hoffmann, O'Connell, & Haines, In Press) and that some find this discussion very confronting, as they commonly associate falls with being old and frail. (Yardley et al., 2008) It was these challenges and observations within the clinical environment that lead to the formulation of the study questions.

This study is a secondary analysis of data collected as a part of a randomised trial investigating the efficacy of two patient education strategies for the prevention of falls amongst older hospital inpatients. The analyses presented in this paper aim to i) describe the magnitude symptoms of depression amongst older hospital patients, ii) describe how symptoms of depression change during hospital admission, iii) identify factors associated with worsening symptoms of clinical depression, iv) describe the impact that symptoms of depression had on length of stay in hospital, falls, and the probability of being discharged to a residential aged care facility, and v) determine whether provision of either of two patient education programs for the prevention of falls had an impact on change in depressive symptoms during hospital admission.

## **Method:**

### *Study Design*

This study was a 3-group randomized trial. There were two active intervention groups: a “*low intensity*” education program that involved providing a video and written materials discussing the prevention of falls, and a “*high intensity*” education program where the same video and written materials were provided along with face-to-face education with a health professional. The control group received usual care alone.(Haines et al., 2011; A.M. Hill, Hill, et al., 2009; A.M. Hill, McPhail, et al., 2009) The secondary analysis, utilized observational data collected from participants within the RCT to address the research questions.

### *Participants and setting*

Participants were older adults admitted to acute (orthopedic and acute-respiratory

1 medicine) and subacute (geriatric assessment and rehabilitation) wards of the Princess  
2 Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Australia, and the acute (medical-surgical) and subacute  
3 (restorative–stroke rehabilitation) wards of Swan Districts Hospital, Perth, Australia.  
4  
5 Patients were enrolled until they were discharged, transferred to a non-study ward, or  
6  
7 died. Patients were excluded if they had previously participated in the patient  
8  
9 education program or were too ill to provide informed consent as determined by  
10  
11 hospital staff. A sample size of 1206 was recruited out of a possible 5162 admissions  
12  
13 across all study wards.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

#### 22 *Measurements:*

23  
24 Symptoms of depression were measured using the Geriatric Depression Scale – Short  
25  
26 Form (GDS). (Sheikh & Yesavage, 1986) This was administered at admission and  
27  
28 discharge by a research assistant blinded to group allocation. The GDS scale was  
29  
30 specifically developed for detecting depression in older adults and consists of 15 items.  
31  
32 A cut-off score of six or above out of the maximum 15 indicates the presence of  
33  
34 clinically significant depressive symptoms. (Burns, Lawlor, & Craig, 2002)  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 The number of falls each participant sustained while in hospital was collected using  
43  
44 three data sources as previous research has demonstrated single sources  
45  
46 underestimate the true number of falls (A. M. Hill et al., 2010) Falls meeting the World  
47  
48 Health Organization definition (“A fall is an event which results in a person coming to  
49  
50 rest inadvertently on the ground or floor or other lower level” (World Health  
51  
52 Organisation, 2010)) were included. The sources of falls data were i) computerized  
53  
54 incident reports, ii) hand searching of individual patient medical notes, and iii) weekly  
55  
56 patient interviews (or at patient discharge if earlier than one week). Falls captured  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

through any of these three approaches were included.

Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) was measured using the EQ-5D-3L.(Rabin & de Charro, 2001) This scale measures health-related quality of life over five domains: mobility, self care, usual activities, pain and/or discomfort, and anxiety/depression. The participant rates each domain in one of three levels of responses: no problem, some problems / moderate, or severe problems / unable. The Dolan scoring approach(Dolan, 1997) was applied creating a multi-attribute utility score with a possible range from -0.59 to 1.0; where 0 and 1 represent death and perfect health respectively.

Cognitive impairment was measured using the Short-Portable Mental Status Questionnaire. (Pfeiffer, 1975) Higher scores on this the Short-Portable Mental Status Questionnaire indicate better cognitive function.

Self-perceived risk of falling and anxiety about falling were measured with a patient survey as part of baseline and discharge measures. This survey used single items “I think I will fall while in hospital” and “I am worried about falling while in hospital” respectively. A five point Likert scale (strongly agree through to strongly disagree) was used for each item.

Other demographic and outcome variables were collected from patient medical records. These included: Age, gender, highest level of education, history of number of falls in the past 6 months, whether English was spoken as a first language, length of stay in hospital (measured in days), admission diagnosis, admission and discharge destination.

*Procedure:*

Pre-study training was provided to hospital staff on study wards regarding classification of falls and procedures for recording falls on incident reports using previously developed video materials.(Haines, Cornwell, Fleming, Varghese, & Gray, 2008; A.M. Hill, McPhail, et al., 2009) Patients consenting to participate in the study undertook a baseline assessment of all study measures. Participants were then allocated to one of the two intervention groups or the control group within the falls trial. Discharge measurements for health-related quality of life, depression and cognitive function were undertaken (with assistance from research assistants to administer the questionnaires) within 48 hours of discharge.

*Analysis:*

The baseline demographics between groups were explored with means, standard deviations and frequencies. Differences between the groups were analysed using t-tests, chi-squared and logistic regression. Associations between factors predictive of admission depressive symptoms and change in depressive symptoms were examined using path analysis(Byrne, 2010) undertaken with Amos 19(Arbuckle, 2006) software package. Both unstandardized and standardized regression coefficients of associations were generated. Unlike multiple regression analysis, path analysis was used to explicitly depict both direct and indirect (mediation) effects between exogenous (predictor) variables and endogenous (outcome) variables. The path analysis included both admission GDS and change in depressive symptoms as endogenous variables.

Variables collected at baseline were used as exogenous variables (including use of admission GDS as an exogenous variable to predict change in GDS as an endogenous variable).

Univariate analyses were also used to build the model initially, with indirect / mediation effects then added to the model. Modification indices were used to assist with the path model development and refinement, as these identify potential associations not already specified in the model. The model was refined by removing any exogenous variables that had no significant direct effect on either of the endogenous variables. The model fit was examined using the Chi Square, Root Mean-Square Error of Approximation (values  $<0.05$  indicate good model fit), and Comparative Fit Index statistics (values  $>0.95$  indicate good fit). Moderation analysis was then undertaken to examine whether group allocation in the randomized controlled trial influenced the associations identified. For this, pairwise parameter comparisons were undertaken across the three groups in the trial so that critical ratios for comparisons between parameters could be calculated. These critical ratios are a z-test for the difference between coefficients where a value  $>1.96$  represents a p-value (two-tailed)  $<0.05$  indicative of a statistically significant moderation. (Fairchild & MacKinnon, 2009)

## Results

There were 1206 participants enrolled in the larger RCT. Of these participants, 1168 completed a baseline GDS and 987 participants completed both baseline and discharge GDS. Unplanned discharges or transfers prevented discharge assessment from occurring for some participants which resulted in the lower number of participants completing both baseline and discharge ( $n=181$ ). Table 1 displays the demographic

data for participants within each group. All groups were similar in all aspects except for the Low vs High intensity group's admission to a rehabilitation setting ( $p=0.039$ ).

Clinically significant symptoms of depression (GDS cut-off of 6 or above) were present in 53% ( $n=619$  of 1168) of this population at admission. When the magnitude and distribution of depression symptoms was explored for the whole group at admission and discharge, it was determined that the symptoms of depression worsened from admission baseline to discharge for 18% ( $n=177$  of 987) of this sample, but remained unchanged for 55% ( $n=543$  of 987). The change in depressive symptoms was significantly different from zero ( $p<0.001$ ), indicating that depressive symptoms on average measured using the GDS decreased during the admission. A scatterplot of the admission GDS vs discharge GDS shows change in all groups during admission (Figure 1)

The  $n$ , mean (sd) discharge GDS score for the control group was  $n=314$ , 6.4 (1.8), for the low intensity group was  $n=342$ , 6.8 (1.9), and for the high intensity group was  $n=338$ , 6.5 (1.8). Pairwise comparisons of discharge GDS scores adjusted for baseline GDS scores demonstrated that those exposed to the low intensity education program had higher GDS scores at discharge than those in the control group (low intensity vs control  $n=652$ , adjusted regression coefficient (95% CI) = 0.24 (0.02, 0.45),  $p=0.03$ ). Other adjusted pairwise contrasts were not significant (high intensity vs control  $n=648$ , adjusted regression coefficient (95% CI) = -0.18 (-0.39, 0.03),  $p=0.10$ , high intensity vs low intensity  $n=674$ , adjusted regression coefficient (95% CI) = 0.04 (-0.17, 0.25),  $p=0.73$ ).

Table 2 and Figure 2 present the path analysis that identifies factors predictive of a

higher baseline GDS score, and/or a greater change in GDS score during the admission. The factors that predicted higher levels of depressive symptoms at baseline ( $r^2=0.09$ ) were, having a history of falls in the past six months, male gender, not having English as a first language, having lower levels of education, being admitted from a residential aged care facility, being recruited for this study from a rehabilitation ward, having a higher self-perceived risk of falling and being worried about falling while in hospital. Lower baseline depressive symptoms and higher levels of worry about the risk of falling were also associated with worsening depressive symptoms over the admission in hospital ( $r^2=0.26$ ).

The direct effect of worry about the risk of falling in hospital on the change in GDS (the more worried that older adult was about falling predicted worsening depressive symptoms), and the indirect effect of worry about the risk of falling mediated through admission depressive symptoms were opposite in direction. However these effects appeared to cancel each other out when considering the total standardised effect of being worried about falls at admission and change in GDS score (Table 2). The total standardized effects indicated that baseline GDS had the greatest influence on change in depressive symptoms during admission. Two model fit indices (RMSEA =0.019, CFI =0.984) indicated good fit while the third indicated moderate fit ( $X^2=0.128$ ).

Lastly, the moderator variable analysis examining the effect of group allocation (within the randomized controlled trial) in these results identified that there was a significant difference in path coefficients generated between groups in two out of 45 pairwise comparisons. These were the direct effect of worry about the risk of falling on change in depressive symptoms (high intensity intervention vs. control group pairwise



comparison), and the direct effect of worry about the risk of falling on baseline depressive symptoms (high intensity intervention vs. low intensity intervention group pairwise comparison). The direct effect of worry about the risk of falling illustrated that the high intensity intervention provided in the randomized trial may have changed the nature of the association between the participant's worry about falling and increased depressive symptoms over the admission relative to the control group. In the control group, this standardized direct effect (regression coefficient -0.02) indicated a weak relationship between worry about falls and increasing depression. In the intensive intervention group, this standardized direct effect (regression coefficient -0.18) indicated that higher levels of worry about falls were associated with increasing depressive symptoms. The latter moderation effect appears to represent random inherent variability in the trial data as the assessment of worry about falls and baseline GDS took place prior to randomisation. These two moderation effects were the only significant effects identified from 45 pairwise comparisons examined.

## Discussion

This study has identified that a high proportion (53%) of older hospital patients experienced clinically significant depressive symptoms at admission and that these remained at the same level at discharge for the majority, while they worsened for 18% of participants. This finding is important as it points to a considerable magnitude of burden of depressive symptoms amongst hospitalised older adults.

Our study for the first time provides experimental evidence of an association between involvement in a low-intensity falls prevention education program and increasing depressive symptoms during hospitalisation. The low intensity intervention in this trial

consisted of showing a video designed to raise awareness about falls and falls prevention strategies and providing a booklet with similar information without additional follow-up. This low-cost education approach may therefore be harmful, as it has already been demonstrated that it provides no benefit in terms of preventing falls(Haines 2011). It is possible that viewing this material may have made participants more concerned about falls, or thought more about the related processes of ageing and frailty without providing health-professional follow-up to discuss and counsel patients on these issues. However, it must again be stated that this was an opportunistic secondary analysis of data arising from this trial which was not pre-planned, and should therefore be viewed as a hypothesis generating finding rather than a confirmatory one.

A previous systematic review and meta-analysis on risk factors for depression in older adults within the community found that women were at a higher risk of depression than males.(Cole & Dendukuri, 2003) Our study, in contrast, found that men were at higher risk and a much higher prevalence of depressive symptoms at admission. The finding of gender differences is similar to previous research that has highlighted women as being at higher or equivalent risk of falling while in the community, but when in hospital, it is men who are at higher risk.(Haines & Hill, 2011) These findings may indicate that men have greater difficulty with adjusting to being unwell or adapting to the hospital environment.

Those who had a history of falls within the past six months and those who worried about falling were also observed to be at greater risk of experiencing depressive symptoms. It was expected that a recent fall would be a predictor for depressive

1 symptoms as past research has identified a relationship between depressive symptoms  
2 and falls.(Biderman, Cwikel, Fried, & Galinsky, 2002) It was interesting that this  
3  
4 relationship was partially mediated by worry about falling in the future indicating that  
5  
6 addressing fear of falling may be a potential consideration for managing depressive  
7  
8 symptoms in older hospital patients. This therapeutic recommendation may be further  
9  
10 justified by previous findings that depressive symptoms in the older population were  
11  
12 not associated with an individual's physical and functional ability(Gluyas et al., 2011)  
13  
14 despite physical and functional ability being closely associated with falls.(Shumway-  
15  
16 Cook et al., 2009)  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 Lower levels of education have been previously identified within the literature as a risk  
26  
27 for depression in older people(Chang-Quan, Zheng-Rong, Yong-Hong, Yi-Zhou, & Qing-  
28  
29 Xiu, 2010) corroborating the findings of this research. Little is known about why  
30  
31 education levels have been identified as a predictive factor. Cognitive impairment  
32  
33 amongst older adults has previously been associated with lower levels of education,  
34  
35 however, cognitive function scores taken at baseline using the abbreviated mental test  
36  
37 score were not a significant factor in the path model. This result may possibly be due to  
38  
39 multi collinearity with the factors admission from a residential aged care facility,  
40  
41 previous falls and level of education. Similarly, little is known about why English as a  
42  
43 second language is a predictor for depressive symptoms in older adults. Often these  
44  
45 patients are excluded from medical trials(Frayne, Burns, Hardt, Rosen, & Moskowitz,  
46  
47 1996) yet appear to be an important sub-group of the population for intervention. One  
48  
49 previous study amongst older Korean-American immigrants found that English  
50  
51 language proficiency was associated with depressive symptoms,(Park & Bernstein,  
52  
53 2008) while a study of older Iranian-Australian immigrants found anxiety and  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

depressive symptoms were associated with proficiency in English.(Alizadeh-Khoei, Mathews, & Hossain, 2011) These findings indicate that health services should be particularly cognisant of the risk of depression amongst their patients with limited English language skills.

An important limitation of this research was that data were not collected on whether patients were treated for their depressive symptoms while in hospital. It is possible that some of the associations examined in this study may have been biased by treatments that were provided. This may explain why we were unable to identify any factors predictive of change in depressive symptoms other than admission depressive symptoms (which itself may have been caused by regression to the mean). It is unknown what, if any, impact depressive symptoms had on physical function and other behaviours which may moderate the relationship between depressive symptoms and increased length of stay or falls.

This study has highlighted the potential importance of addressing depressive symptoms amongst older hospital patients, and highlighted patient groups for whom this may be particularly relevant. Further research is required to determine if the depressive symptoms observed during the inpatient period persist following discharge and whether any further burden may be associated with this. Further research is also required to investigate the effectiveness of therapeutic approaches initiated in the inpatient setting, and the potential unintended consequences of providing low intensity falls prevention education interventions.

## Conclusion

Clinically important levels of depressive symptoms are common amongst older hospital inpatients and generally persist through to discharge. These symptoms may be exacerbated by participating in a low intensity falls prevention education program. Some factors predictive of depressive symptoms may indicate a relationship to frailty (e.g. history of falls, admission from a residential care facility), though other factors indicate a more complex picture than this.

### **Conflict of Interest:**

TPH is director of Hospital Falls Prevention Solutions Pty Ltd. This company provides consultancy services on the subject of falls prevention in hospitals and provides training in use of the Safe Recovery patient education program which was used in the trial described in this manuscript.

### **Description of Author roles and funding:**

TPH, AMH, SMM, KDH, SGB, TCH, and CEB designed the study. AMH collected the data and TPH was responsible for data analysis. All authors critically interpreted the results; CW and TPH drafted the manuscript and circulated repeatedly among all authors for critical revision. All authors approved the final version.

This research was also funded by a project grant from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia. TPH and TCH were supported by a Career Development Fellowship, SMM and AMH were supported by Early Career Fellowships from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia.

### **References**

- Alizadeh-Khoei, M., Mathews, R. M., & Hossain, S. Z. (2011). The Role of Acculturation in Health Status and Utilization of Health Services among the Iranian Elderly in Metropolitan Sydney. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Gerontology*, 26(4), 397-405.
- Arbuckle, J. L. (2006). Amos (Version 19). Chicago: SPSS.
- Biderman, A., Cwikel, J., Fried, A. V., & Galinsky, D. (2002). Depression and falls among community dwelling elderly people: a search for common risk factors. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 56(8), 631-636. doi: 10.1136/jech.56.8.631
- Bryant, C., Jackson, H., & Ames, D. (2009). Depression and anxiety in medically unwell older adults: prevalence and short-term course. *International psychogeriatrics / IPA*, 21(4), 754-763. doi: 10.1017/S1041610209009399
- Burns, A., Lawlor, B., & Craig, S. (2002). Rating scales in old age psychiatry. *The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science*, 180, 161-167.
- Byrne, B. M. (2010). *Structural equation modeling with AMOS* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.
- Chang-Quan, H., Zheng-Rong, W., Yong-Hong, L., Yi-Zhou, X., & Qing-Xiu, L. (2010). Education and risk for late life depression: a meta-analysis of published literature. *International journal of psychiatry in medicine*, 40(1), 109-124.
- Cole, M. G., & Dendukuri, N. (2003). Risk factors for depression among elderly community subjects: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The American journal of psychiatry*, 160(6), 1147-1156.
- Djernes, J. K. (2006). Prevalence and predictors of depression in populations of elderly: a review. *Acta psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 113(5), 372-387. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.2006.00770.x
- Dolan, P. (1997). Modeling valuations for EuroQol health states. *Medical care*, 35(11), 1095-1108.
- Fairchild, A. J., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2009). A general model for testing mediation and moderation effects. *Prevention Science*, 10(2), 87-99.
- Frayne, S., Burns, R., Hardt, E., Rosen, A., & Moskowitz, M. (1996). The exclusion of non-english-speaking persons from research. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 11(1), 39-43. doi: 10.1007/BF02603484
- Givens, J. L., Jones, R. N., & Inouye, S. K. (2009). The overlap syndrome of depression and delirium in older hospitalized patients. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 57(8), 1347-1353. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2009.02342.x
- Gluyas, C., Lum, C., Chong, S. Y., Borg, C., & Haines, T. P. (2011). Prevalence and influence of psychiatric comorbidity on rehabilitation outcome for older hospital inpatients. *International psychogeriatrics / IPA*, 1-13. doi: 10.1017/S1041610211000731
- Haines, T. P., Cornwell, P., Fleming, J., Varghese, P., & Gray, L. (2008). Documentation of in-hospital falls on incident reports: Qualitative investigation of an imperfect process. *BMC Health Services Research*, 8(1), 254.
- Haines, T. P., & Hill, A. M. (2011). Inconsistent results in meta-analyses for the prevention of falls are found between study-level data and patient-level data. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 64(2), 154-162.
- Haines, T. P., Hill, A. M., Hill, K. D., McPhail, S., Oliver, D., Brauer, S., . . . Beer, C. (2011). Patient education to prevent falls among older hospital inpatients: A randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 171(6), 516-524.
- Hill, A. M., Hill, K., Brauer, S., Oliver, D., Hoffmann, T., Beer, C., . . . Haines, T. P. (2009). Evaluation of the effect of patient education on rates of falls in older hospital patients: description of a randomised controlled trial. *BMC Geriatr*, 9, 14. doi: 10.1186/1471-

2318-9-14

- Hill, A. M., Hoffmann, T., Hill, K., Oliver, D., Beer, C., McPhail, S., . . . Haines, T. P. (2010). Measuring falls events in acute hospitals-a comparison of three reporting methods to identify missing data in the hospital reporting system. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 58(7), 1347-1352. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.02856.x
- Hill, A. M., McPhail, S., Hoffmann, T., Hill, K., Oliver, D., Beer, C., . . . Haines, T. P. (2009). A randomized trial comparing digital video disc with written delivery of falls prevention education for older patients in hospital. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 57(8), 1458-1463.
- Lee, D. C., McDermott, F., Hoffmann, T., O'Connell, B., & Haines, T. (In Press). "They will tell me if there is a problem": Limited discussion between health professionals, older adults and their caregivers on falls prevention during and after hospitalization. *Health Education Research*.
- Park, S. Y., & Bernstein, K. S. (2008). Depression and Korean American immigrants. *Archives of psychiatric nursing*, 22(1), 12-19. doi: 10.1016/j.apnu.2007.06.011
- Pfeiffer, E. (1975). A short portable mental status questionnaire for the assessment of organic brain deficit in elderly patients. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 23(10), 433-441.
- Rabin, R., & de Charro, F. (2001). EQ-5D: a measure of health status from the EuroQol Group. *Annals of medicine*, 33(5), 337-343.
- Sheikh, J. I., & Yesavage, J. A. (1986). Geriatric Depressive Scale (GDS); recent evidence and development of a shorter version. *Clinical Gerontologist*, 5, 165-171.
- Shumway-Cook, A., Ciol, M. A., Hoffman, J., Dudgeon, B. J., Yorkston, K., & Chan, L. (2009). Falls in the Medicare population: incidence, associated factors, and impact on health care. *Physical Therapy*, 89(4), 324-332.
- Solhaug, H. I., Romuld, E. B., Romild, U., & Stordal, E. (2012). Increased prevalence of depression in cohorts of the elderly: an 11-year follow-up in the general population - the HUNT study. *International psychogeriatrics / IPA*, 24(1), 151-158. doi: 10.1017/S1041610211001141
- Weisberg, H. F. (1992). *Central tendency and variability*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- World Health Organisation. (2010). Definition of a fall: : [http://www.who.int/violence\\_injury\\_prevention/other\\_injury/falls/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/other_injury/falls/en/index.html) / (last accessed Jan 2010).
- Yardley, L., Kirby, S., Ben-Shlomo, Y., Gilbert, R., Whitehead, S., & Todd, C. (2008). How likely are older people to take up different falls prevention activities? *Prev Med*, 47(5), 554-558. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2008.09.001

Table 1. Demographics of participants within each group

|  | Control Group     | Materials Only Group | Complete Intervention | Control Vs Low Intensity | Control Vs High Intensity | Low Intensity Vs High Intensity |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
|  | Mean(SD) or n (%) | Mean(SD) or n (%)    | Mean(SD) or n (%)     | p                        | p                         | p                               |
| Total Number of Participants   | 381 (32%)         | 424 (36%)            | 401 ((33%)            |                          |                           |                                 |
| Age  | 75.25(10.14)      | 74.73(11.75)         | 75.25 (10.99)         | 0.501                    | 1.000                     | 0.510                           |
| Gender (male)  | 203(53%)          | 223(53%)             | 216(54%)              | 0.856                    | 0.870                     | 0.715                           |
| Education level  |                   |                      |                       | 0.995                    | 0.092                     | 0.737                           |
| Primary  | 113 (30%)         | 120 (28%)            | 111 (29%)             |                          |                           |                                 |
| Year 10  | 163 (43%)         | 189 (45%)            | 171 (44%)             |                          |                           |                                 |
| Year 12  | 38 (10%)          | 46 (11%)             | 47 (12%)              |                          |                           |                                 |
| TAFE/Diploma   | 47 (12%)          | 46 (11%)             | 53 (13%)              |                          |                           |                                 |
| Bachelor degree  | 14 (4%)           | 17 (4%)              | 5 (1%)                |                          |                           |                                 |
| Postgraduate   | 3 (1%)            | 6 (1%)               | 1 (1%)                |                          |                           |                                 |
| English as first language  | 348 (91%)         | 376 (89%)            | 376 (94%)             | 0.212                    | 0.390                     | 0.697                           |
| Faller in previous 6 months  | 209 (55%)         | 245 (58%)            | 212 (53%)             | 0.383                    | 0.550                     | 0.134                           |
| Discharged to residential care   | 51 (13%)          | 63 (15%)             | 45 (11%)              | 0.600                    | 0.920                     | 0.123                           |
| Admitted to a rehabilitation setting                                       | 139 (37%)         | 181 (43%)            | 143 (36%)             | 0.073                    | 0.811                     | 0.039                           |
| Statement response:<br><i>I am worried about falling while in hospital</i> |                   |                      |                       | 0.412                    | 0.619                     | 0.761                           |
| Strongly agree   | 18 (5%)           | 19 (4%)              | 26 (6%)               |                          |                           |                                 |
| Agree  | 99 (26%)          | 124 (29%)            | 103 (26%)             |                          |                           |                                 |
| Undecided  | 13 (3%)           | 16 (4%)              | 13 (3%)               |                          |                           |                                 |
| Disagree   | 169 (44%)         | 177 (42%)            | 174 (44%)             |                          |                           |                                 |
| Strongly disagree  | 72 (19%)          | 82 (19%)             | 82 (20%)              |                          |                           |                                 |
| (Missing data)   | (9 (2%))          | (5 (1%))             | (3 (1%))              |                          |                           |                                 |
| Baseline GDS   | 6.90 (2.02)       | 7.13 (2.04)          | 6.69 (2.01)           | 0.115                    | 0.155                     | 0.002                           |
| GDS Change   | -0.46 (1.69)      | -0.32 (1.59)         | -0.19 (1.56)          | 0.261                    | 0.032                     | 0.282                           |



Table 2. Standardized total effects from path analysis model

|   | Gender | Faller in<br>previous 6<br>months | Rehabilitation<br>Admission | English not<br>first<br>language | Worried<br>about falling | Admission<br>from<br>residential<br>aged care<br>facility | Education<br>level | GDS<br>admission<br>score |
|---|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Faller in<br>previous 6<br>months                         | -0.089 |                                   |                             |                                  |                          |   |                    |                           |
| Rehabilitation<br>Admission                               | -0.006 | 0.067                             |                             |                                  |                          |   |                    |                           |
| Worried<br>about falling                                  | 0.016  | -0.182                            | -0.119                      |                                  |                          |   |                    |                           |
| Admission<br>from<br>residential<br>aged care<br>facility | -0.12  |                                   |                             |                                  |                          |   |                    |                           |
| Education<br>level  | 0.068  |                                   |                             |                                  |                          |   |                    |                           |
| GDS<br>admission<br>score                                 | 0.095  | 0.12                              | 0.127                       | -0.075                           | -0.185                   | 0.07  | -0.101             |                           |
| GDS change<br>score                                       | -0.051 | -0.048                            | -0.057                      | 0.039                            | 0.016                    | -0.037  | 0.053              | -0.523                    |

\*GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale – Short Form

Figure 1. Scatterplots of overall admission GDS and discharge GDS and for each group.

Figure 2: Path analysis showing standardized regression coefficients,  $r^2$  values and model fit statistics

GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale – Short Form

Df = Degrees of freedom

CFI = Comparative fit index

RMSEA = Root mean square residual and standardized root mean square residual

Figure 1



